

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/601,440	06/23/2003	Gabriele Prenger	H 4958 PCT/US	7635
55495	7590 01/10/2006		EXAMINER	
DANN DO	RFMAN HERRELL	TRUONG, THANH K		
A PROFESS	SIONAL CORPORATION		D. 1 D. D. 1 W. D. C. D.	
1601 MARKET STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 2400			3721	
PHILADEL	PHIA, PA 19103-230°	7		_

DATE MAILED: 01/10/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Tum			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/601,440	PRENGER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Thanh K. Truong	3721			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appearing for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION IN THE PROPERTY IN THE PROPERTY OF	N. imely filed  n the mailing date of this communication.  ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07	December 2005.				
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠ Th	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	153 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-57</u> is/are pending in the applicatio	n.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) 41-54 and 57 is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-40, 55 and 56</u> are subject to restri	ction and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac	cepted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	-,,				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).			
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> </ol>					
2. Certified copies of the priority documer	•				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri	·	ed in this National Stage			
application from the International Bure:  * See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	' ' '	od			
See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies flot receiv	eu.			
Attachment(s)	_				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D				
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>		Patent Application (PTO-152)			

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, claims 1-40, 55 and 56, in the reply filed on December 7, 2005 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a).

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made **FINAL**.

- 2. Claims 41-54 and 57 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.
- 3. A further requirement for election by applicant follows. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species 1: the embodiment of figures 2.

Species 2: the embodiment of figures 3.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, there are no generic claims.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Application/Control Number: 10/601,440

Art Unit: 3721

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

4. A telephone call was made to the Applicant's representative, Mr. John S. Child, Jr. on January 6, 2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

Application/Control Number: 10/601,440 Page 4

Art Unit: 3721

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by

a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Thanh K. Truong whose telephone number is 571-272-

4472. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thru 8:00AM - 6:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Rinaldi Rada can be reached on 571-272-4467. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thanh K. Truong

Patent Examiner

January 6, 2006.